

A. Standard exercises:

9.1 (Regular curve as an intersection of transversal surfaces) Let $C \subset \Omega$ be the set of points in Ω such that

$$f(x, y, z) = g(x, y, z) = 0,$$

where Ω is a domain of \mathbb{R}^3 and $f, g \in C^k(\Omega)$ with $k \geq 1$. Assume that for a point $(x_0, y_0, z_0) \in C$, the matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} & \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} & \frac{\partial f}{\partial z} \\ \frac{\partial g}{\partial x} & \frac{\partial g}{\partial y} & \frac{\partial g}{\partial z} \end{pmatrix}$$

has rank 2.

- (a) Show that the subsets $\{f = 0\} \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ and $\{g = 0\} \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ define, in a neighborhood of (x_0, y_0, z_0) , C^k surfaces that intersect transversally (see Ex. 8.4).
- (b) Show that one can locally parametrize the set C in a neighborhood of (x_0, y_0, z_0) as a regular curve $\gamma : I \rightarrow \Omega$ of class C^k .
- (b) Find an expression for the tangent vector $\dot{\gamma}(t)$.

Solution. (a) Since the matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} & \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} & \frac{\partial f}{\partial z} \\ \frac{\partial g}{\partial x} & \frac{\partial g}{\partial y} & \frac{\partial g}{\partial z} \end{pmatrix}$$

has rank 2 at the point (x_0, y_0, z_0) , each of its rows is a non zero vector. In particular, $\nabla f(x_0, y_0, z_0) \neq 0$ and $\nabla g(x_0, y_0, z_0) \neq 0$. Since ∇f and ∇g are continuous, there exists a small neighborhood \mathcal{W} of (x_0, y_0, z_0) such that $\nabla f \neq 0$ and $\nabla g \neq 0$ on \mathcal{W} . By the regular value theorem, this means that $S_f = \{f = 0\} \cap \mathcal{W}$ and $S_g = \{g = 0\} \cap \mathcal{W}$ are smooth codimension 1 submanifolds of \mathbb{R}^3 , i.e. surfaces.

Let $F : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ be defined by $F = (f, g)$. By hypothesis, F is of class C^k and has maximal rank 2 at (x_0, y_0, z_0) . By lower semicontinuity of rank, F has constant rank 2 in a neighborhood \mathcal{U} of that point (which, by restricting to a smaller neighborhood if necessary, we can assume without loss of generality that it is contained in \mathcal{W}). Thus, at every point p in \mathcal{U} , the vectors $\nabla f(p)$ and $\nabla g(p)$ are linearly independent; therefore, the planes $\nabla f(p)^\perp$ and $\nabla g(p)^\perp$ are not the same plane; in particular, $\nabla f(p)^\perp + \nabla g(p)^\perp = \mathbb{R}^3$ (since $\nabla f(p)^\perp$ contains a vector v not in $\nabla g(p)^\perp$, so v and $\nabla g(p)^\perp$ span all of \mathbb{R}^3). In particular, if $p \in S_f \cap S_g$, then $T_p S_f + T_p S_g = \nabla f(p)^\perp + \nabla g(p)^\perp = \mathbb{R}^3$, so S_f and S_g intersect transversally.

(b) We give two proofs of the existence of a parametrization of C as a curve of class C^k .

First proof. Let $F = (f, g)$ be the map defined in part (a) and \mathcal{U} a neighborhood of (x_0, y_0, z_0) where F has rank 2. Applying the regular value theorem, we conclude that $F^{-1}(0) \cap \mathcal{U} = C \cap \mathcal{U}$ is a C^k submanifold near (x_0, y_0, z_0) . Its codimension is 2, so its dimension is $1 = 3 - 2$. Therefore, there exists a (possibly smaller) neighborhood $V \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ of (x_0, y_0, z_0) and a bijective regular parametrization $\gamma : I \rightarrow V \cap C$ of class C^k .

Second proof. Since F has constant rank 2 around (x_0, y_0, z_0) , by applying the constant rank theorem, there exist:

- (i) A neighborhood $U \subset \Omega$ of (x_0, y_0, z_0) and a diffeomorphism $\Phi : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$ with $\Phi(x_0, y_0, z_0) = (0, 0, 0)$.
- (ii) A neighborhood $V \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ of $F(x_0, y_0, z_0)$ and a diffeomorphism $\Psi : V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ with $\Psi(F(x_0, y_0, z_0)) = (0, 0)$.
- (iii) A linear map $L : \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ of rank 2 such that $L \circ \Phi = \Psi \circ F$.

Thus $(x, y, z) \in C \cap U$ iff $L(\Phi(x, y, z)) = 0$, i.e. $\Phi(x, y, z) \in \ker L$.

The kernel of L is 1-dimensional, generated by a nonzero vector $v \in \mathbb{R}^3$. Then

$$\gamma(t) = \Phi^{-1}(tv)$$

is a local parametrization of C near (x_0, y_0, z_0) .

(c) Since γ lies on the surface $f = 0$, its velocity vector $\dot{\gamma}$ is orthogonal to ∇f . Similarly it is orthogonal to ∇g :

$$\langle \nabla f(\gamma(t)), \dot{\gamma}(t) \rangle = \langle \nabla g(\gamma(t)), \dot{\gamma}(t) \rangle = 0.$$

Hence $\dot{\gamma}(t)$ is collinear with the cross product

$$\nabla f(\gamma(t)) \times \nabla g(\gamma(t)).$$

9.2 Recall what it means for a map $f : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$ between two smooth submanifolds to be smooth and what is the differential $df_p : T_p\mathcal{M} \rightarrow T_{f(p)}\mathcal{N}$. Prove the composition rule for derivatives: If $f : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$ and $g : \mathcal{N} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}$ are smooth maps between submanifolds, then for any $p \in \mathcal{M}$:

$$d(g \circ f)_p = dg_{f(p)} \circ df_p.$$

Solution. If $f : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$ and $g : \mathcal{N} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}$ are smooth maps between the submanifolds $\mathcal{M} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^m$, $\mathcal{N} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ and $\mathcal{L} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^l$, then, for any $p \in \mathcal{M}$, there exists a neighborhood \mathcal{U} of p in \mathbb{R}^m and \mathcal{V} of $f(p)$ in \mathbb{R}^n , as well as smooth extensions $F : \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ (of f) and $G : \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^l$ (of g). In this case, by the differentiation of the differentials, $df_p = dF_p|_{T_p\mathcal{M}}$ and $dg_{f(p)} = dG_{f(p)}|_{T_{f(p)}\mathcal{N}}$. By using the usual composition rule for derivatives for functions defined on open domains of \mathbb{R}^k , we have:

$$d(G \circ F)_p = dG_{F(p)} \circ dF_p$$

and, therefore, since $F(p) = f(p)$, $dF_p|_{T_p(\mathcal{M})} = df_p$ and $dG_{f(p)}|_{Im(df_p)} = dg_{f(p)}$ (since $Im(df_p) \subset T_{f(p)}\mathcal{N}$) we infer that

$$d(G \circ F)_p|_{T_p\mathcal{M}} = dG_{F(p)} \circ dF_p|_{T_p\mathcal{M}} = dG_{f(p)} \circ df_p = dg_{f(p)} \circ df_p.$$

9.3 Recall that by definition a map $f : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$ between two smooth submanifolds is a smooth *diffeomorphism* if it is bijective and both f and f^{-1} are smooth (for the purposes of this exercise, smooth means at least C^1).

- (a) Prove that for every $p \in \mathcal{M}$, the differential $df_p : T_p\mathcal{M} \rightarrow T_{f(p)}\mathcal{N}$ is an isomorphism of vector spaces.
- (b) Deduce that there cannot exist a smooth diffeomorphism between two non-empty manifolds that do not have the same dimension.
- (c) Show, by an example, that a bijective smooth map $f : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$ between two smooth submanifolds is not necessarily a diffeomorphism (you may assume $\dim(\mathcal{M}) = 1$).
- (*d) Let $f : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$ be a smooth map. Let $p \in \mathcal{M}$ be such that $df_p : T_p\mathcal{M} \rightarrow T_{f(p)}\mathcal{N}$ is a bijective map. Show that f is locally a diffeomorphism around p .

Solution. (a) Let $q = f(p)$ and $g = f^{-1} : \mathcal{N} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$. Then $g \circ f : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ is the identity, so its differential

$$d(g \circ f)_p : T_p\mathcal{M} \rightarrow T_p\mathcal{M}$$

is also the identity. The chain rule gives

$$dg_q \circ df_p = d(g \circ f)_p = \text{Id}_{T_p\mathcal{M}}.$$

Similarly $df_p \circ dg_q = \text{Id}_{T_q\mathcal{N}}$. Thus df_p is an isomorphism.

(b) If $f : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$ is a diffeomorphism, then $df_p : T_p\mathcal{M} \rightarrow T_{f(p)}\mathcal{N}$ is an isomorphism for all p , so

$$\dim(\mathcal{M}) = \dim(T_p\mathcal{M}) = \dim(T_{f(p)}\mathcal{N}) = \dim(\mathcal{N}).$$

(c) It suffices to find a differentiable bijection for which df_p fails to be an isomorphism at some point. The function $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ given by $f(x) = x^3$ is a C^∞ bijection but $f'(0) = 0$, so it is not a diffeomorphism.

Remark. There does not exist a homeomorphism between manifolds of different dimensions either (Brouwer's invariance of domain theorem). However, Cantor showed that for any $m, n \geq 1$ there exists a bijection $\mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$.

9.4 If you haven't already done so previously (since the corresponding exercises in sheet 7 and 8 were marked with an asterisk), prove that $O(n)$ is a submanifold of $M_n(\mathbb{R})$ and describe the tangent space $T_I O(n)$ of this manifold at the point I (the identity matrix). Show that the exponential matrix map $A \rightarrow \exp(A)$ maps $T_I O(n)$ to $SO(n)$ (use Ex. 3.7); use this map to construct a local parametrization of $O(n)$ around I (you might want to use part (d) of the previous exercise).

Solution. As we saw in the previous exercise sheets, Near $O(n)$, the map

$$\Phi : A \mapsto AA^T$$

has constant rank. Its differential at $A = I$ is

$$d\Phi_I(H) = H + H^T.$$

Hence

$$T_I O(n) = \ker d\Phi_I = \{H \in M_n(\mathbb{R}) \mid H + H^T = 0\},$$

the space of antisymmetric matrices (which we will denote by $\mathcal{A}_n(\mathbb{R})$).

Let us consider the map $h : \mathcal{A}_n(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{R})$, $h(A) = \exp(A)$. As we have seen in exercise 3.7, for any antisymmetric matrix H , the matrix $\exp(H)$ is in $SO(n)$, so h maps $\mathcal{A}_n(\mathbb{R})$ to $SO(n)$. Its differential at 0 can be computed as follows: For any antisymmetric matrix H with $\|H\| < 1$:

$$h(0 + H) - h(0) = \exp(H) - I = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} H^n - I = H + O(\|H\|^2)$$

so

$$dh_0(H) = H,$$

i.e. $dh_0 : T_0 \mathcal{A}_n(\mathbb{R}) = \mathcal{A}_n(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow T_I SO(n) = \mathcal{A}_n(\mathbb{R})$ is a linear isomorphism (its the identity map). Thus, by the inverse function theorem, there exists a neighborhood \mathcal{U} of 0 in $\mathcal{A}_n(\mathbb{R})$ which is mapped diffeomorphically to $h(\mathcal{U}) \subset SO(n)$.

9.5 A surface is said to be *ruled* if it is a union of straight lines. More precisely, let $\gamma : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$ be a C^1 curve and $b : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$ a C^1 vector field along γ , then the associated ruled surface is defined by the parametrization:

$$\psi(u, v) = \gamma(u) + vb(u).$$

- (a) Give the necessary and sufficient conditions for such a ruled surface to be locally a regular surface (that is, for the map ψ to be an immersion).
- (b) Let C be a curve in \mathbb{R}^3 . A *cone* with vertex $q \in \mathbb{R}^3$ and base C is the union of lines passing through q and a point of C . Give the necessary and sufficient conditions for a cone to be a regular surface in a neighborhood of its base. Then give an explicit parametrization of this cone.
- (c) Explain what a Möbius strip is and provide a parametrization of this surface as a ruled surface in \mathbb{R}^3 .

Solution. (a) The map ψ is C^1 . It is an immersion iff

$$\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial u} \times \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial v} = \dot{\gamma}(u) \times b(u) + v \dot{b}(u) \times b(u) \neq 0.$$

In particular $\dot{\gamma}(u) \times b(u) \neq 0$, i.e. $\dot{\gamma}(u)$ and $b(u)$ are linearly independent. For small v , this condition is sufficient.

(b) A cone is the special case $b(u) = q - \gamma(u)$. Regularity requires that the tangent vector $\dot{\gamma}(u)$ never points toward q , i.e. $\dot{\gamma}(u)$ is not a multiple of $q - \gamma(u)$. A parametrization is

$$\psi(u, v) = \gamma(u) + v(q - \gamma(u)) = vq + (1 - v)\gamma(u).$$

(c) A Möbius strip is obtained by taking a rectangle

$$Q = \{(u, v) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid 0 \leq u \leq \ell, -\varepsilon < v < \varepsilon\},$$

and identifying $(0, v) \sim (\ell, -v)$. One can construct such a strip physically. It can be realized as a ruled surface.

Let $\ell = 2\pi$ and

$$\gamma(u) = (\cos u, \sin u, 0).$$

Choose a unit vector field b orthogonal to $\dot{\gamma}$ and rotating half a turn over one revolution:

$$b(u) = \cos\left(\frac{u}{2}\right) (\cos u, \sin u, 0) + \sin\left(\frac{u}{2}\right) (0, 0, 1).$$

Thus the Möbius strip is parametrized by

$$\psi(u, v) = \gamma(u) + v b(u), \quad (u, v) \in [0, 2\pi] \times [-\varepsilon, \varepsilon],$$

i.e.

$$\begin{cases} x(u, v) = \cos u + v \cos(u/2) \cos u, \\ y(u, v) = \sin u + v \cos(u/2) \sin u, \\ z(u, v) = v \sin(u/2). \end{cases}$$

9.6 Show that the one-sheeted hyperboloid H given by $x^2 + y^2 - z^2 = 1$ is a doubly ruled surface (i.e., ruled in two different ways), and then give a regular parametrization of this surface based on one of these rulings.

Hint: Write the equation as $x^2 - 1 = z^2 - y^2$ and factorize. Deduce algebraically the equation of a line contained in H , then parametrize it and rotate it around the Oz -axis.

Solution. Factorize

$$x^2 + y^2 - z^2 = 1 \implies (x + 1)(x - 1) = -(y - z)(y + z).$$

The line $x = 1, y = z$ satisfies the equation (parametrize it by $(x, y, z) = (1, v, v)$). Rotating this line by angle u about the z -axis gives

$$\begin{pmatrix} \cos u & -\sin u & 0 \\ \sin u & \cos u & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ v \\ v \end{pmatrix} = (\cos u - v \sin u, \sin u + v \cos u, v),$$

which again satisfies the hyperboloid equation. Thus

$$\psi(u, v) = (\cos u - v \sin u, \sin u + v \cos u, v)$$

is a parametrization of H .

The alternative ruling (with $y = -z$) gives

$$\psi(u, v) = (\cos u - v \sin u, \sin u + v \cos u, -v).$$

B. Bonus exercise:

9.7 In this exercise, we construct an example of an injective immersion that is not an embedding.

The *lemniscate of Gerono* is the plane curve defined by the equation

$$4x^2 - 4y^2 - x^4 = 0,$$

that is, the set

$$C = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid 4x^2 - 4y^2 - x^4 = 0\}.$$

- (a) Show that C is not a differentiable submanifold of \mathbb{R}^2 .
- (b) Is the restriction of this curve to $\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \{(0, 0)\}$ a differentiable submanifold?
- (c) Verify that $\gamma : \left(-\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{3\pi}{2}\right) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ defined by

$$\gamma(t) = (2 \cos t, \sin(2t))$$

is a regular parametrization of C . More precisely, prove that:

- (i) γ is an immersion of the open interval $\left(-\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{3\pi}{2}\right)$ into the plane.
- (ii) γ is injective.
- (iii) γ defines a bijection between the open interval $\left(-\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{3\pi}{2}\right)$ and the curve C .
- (iv) Explain what happens to γ as $t \rightarrow -\frac{\pi}{2}$ and $t \rightarrow \frac{3\pi}{2}$.
- (v) Prove that γ is not an embedding of the interval $\left(-\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{3\pi}{2}\right)$ into the plane (that is, it is not a homeomorphism onto its image).

Solution.

Let $f(x, y) = 4x^2 - 4y^2 - x^4$. Then $\nabla f(x, y) = (8x - 4x^3, -8y)$, so $(0, 0)$ is the only critical point and $0 = f(0, 0)$ is the only critical value.

(a) Since 0 is a critical value, the submersion theorem cannot be applied. Consider small neighborhoods

$$C_\varepsilon = \{(x, y) \in C \mid |x| < \varepsilon, |y| < \varepsilon\}.$$

One checks that

$$C_\varepsilon \setminus \{(0, 0)\} = C_\varepsilon^{++} \cup C_\varepsilon^{+-} \cup C_\varepsilon^{-+} \cup C_\varepsilon^{--},$$

four disjoint arcs. Removing one point from a 1-dimensional manifold gives at most two connected components, so C is not a manifold.

A second argument: Consider the curves

$$\alpha_1(t) = \left(t, t\sqrt{1-t^4/4}\right), \quad \alpha_2(t) = \left(t, -t\sqrt{1-t^4/4}\right).$$

Both lie in C for $|t| < 2$ and

$$\dot{\alpha}_1(0) = (1, 1), \quad \dot{\alpha}_2(0) = (1, -1),$$

two linearly independent tangent vectors at $(0, 0)$, contradicting $\dim T_{(0,0)}C = 1$.

(b) On $\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \{(0, 0)\}$ the function f is a submersion, so $C \setminus \{(0, 0)\}$ is a 1-dimensional submanifold.

(c) (i) $\dot{\gamma}(t) = (-2\sin t, 2\cos(2t))$ is never zero on the given interval.

(ii) If $\gamma(t) = \gamma(u)$, then $\cos t = \cos u$ and $\sin(2t) = \sin(2u)$, which forces $t = u$ in the interval.

(iii) One checks $f(\gamma(t)) = 0$, so γ maps into C . For any $(x, y) \in C$ with $|x| \leq 2$ one may choose t with $x = 2\cos t$ and $y = \sin(2t)$ of the correct sign, giving surjectivity.

(iv) Both limits satisfy

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow -\pi/2} \gamma(t) = \lim_{t \rightarrow 3\pi/2} \gamma(t) = (0, 0).$$

The endpoint is a triple point in the continuous extension.

(v) Let $t_k = 3\pi/2 - 1/k$. Then t_k does not converge, but $\gamma(t_k)$ does. Hence γ^{-1} is not continuous and γ is not an embedding.